

**MYDDLE AND BROUGHTON PARISH COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE EXTRAORDINARY MEETING HELD IN
MYDDLE VILLAGE HALL ON
WEDNESDAY JUNE 9TH. 2010 AT 7.30PM.**

Public Session:

There were no members of the public present

Present:

Mr. R. Purslow (Chairman)

Mrs. E. Hodge

Mr. J. Heath

Mr. R. Jones

Mr. R. Jeffrey

Mrs. T. Evans

In Attendance:

Mr. J. Wilson (Parish Clerk).

Apologies:

Apologies were received and accepted from Mrs. L. Baker, Mr. C. Ruck, Mr. R. Bowden and Mr. B. Williams (Shropshire Councillor)

Declaration of Personal or Prejudicial Interests:

There were no declarations made.

!. Planning for a Flourishing Shropshire:

The Chairman explained the purpose of the meeting, which was to prepare a Parish Council response to the consultation document issued by Shropshire Council.

Extensive attempts had been made to inform the parishioners of Shropshire Council's proposals and the document had been discussed in detail at the Annual Parish Meeting held in Harmer Hill and a specially convened meeting held in Myddle.

Members then considered the document in detail and formulated responses in line with the form which accompanied the original document.

After detailed discussion the attached Parish Council response was forwarded to Shropshire Council's Planning Department.

No further business was conducted.

Minutes approved as a true record of the meeting.

Signed: Chairman Date: 2010

Myddle and Broughton Parish Council

Shropshire Site Allocation and Management of Development:

Council Response:

Part A - Development on Towns and Key Centres:

- The Council's view is that the major housing development should be allocated to the three market towns with suggested development of Whitchurch and Wem having 1,000 each and Market Drayton 1,200
- Moderate plus employment opportunities should be provided in Wem, moderate in Whitchurch and minimal in Market Drayton which is already a major employment area.
- The development of the infrastructure must be dependent on the wishes of the Town Councils following detailed consultation with the residents. However there is need to ensure that there is good public transport to the nearby major towns including Shrewsbury, Telford and the Potteries.

The Future for Local Villages:

- The Parish Council encompasses two major villages – Harmer Hill and Myddle. Following detailed public consultation, there is no wish for either village to be considered as a hub or a cluster
- The Council does not feel it is opportune to suggest which villages should be developed in this way.
- Both Villages wish to see the current planning boundary line maintained as shown on the enclosed maps.
- New building should be limited to A (10- 25) in each village. Members point out that there is already approval for 25 properties in Harmer Hill and between 5 and 10 in Myddle.
- Any development of affordable housing should only take place after a detailed and audited assessment of the need within the Parish Boundary and only developed to satisfy those needs..
- No building should take place where there has been a history of flooding.

- There is no call for infrastructure development – there is a well established school; places of worship; three public houses; a village shop; two excellent Village Halls and two Recreation Grounds.
- There are no areas within the Parish that can be identified as being suitable for commercial development.
- The following areas should be retained, protected and where possible enhanced – all are clearly defined on the enclosed maps:
Two Recreation Grounds
The Glebe in Myddle
The Moss and woodland in Harmer Hill
Sandstone outcrop at Hillside, Myddle.

Jack Wilson
Parish Clerk
June 2010